

(Self) Evaluation, second essay

Writer:

Reviewer (if applicable):

Essay type (circle one): research position

Answer the following questions:

Does the essay avoid a “funnel” open and close?	Yes	No
Is the topic properly scoped—neither too wide nor too narrow?	Yes	No
Are sources documented appropriately?	Yes	No
Is the amount of research adequate?	Yes	No

Evaluate the essay on each of the following assigned criteria:

	<i>Needs work</i>		<i>Well done</i>	
1. Select an interesting topic.	1	2	3	4
2. Use research to develop your argument.	1	2	3	4
3. Integrate others’ content in your writing.	1	2	3	4
4. Completely develop ideas.	1	2	3	4
5. Organize your writing.	1	2	3	4
6. Write clearly.	1	2	3	4
7. Adopt a scholarly style.	1	2	3	4

Which two of the items listed above are the *most* complete? (Just write the numbers.) Why?

Which two need the most work? Why?

(Please continue on the back.)

Referring to the relevant chapter from the *Norton Field Guide*: which of the features of the position or research essay are prominent in the draft? Which strategies have been adopted?

Which scholarly documentation style is used in the essay? Is it used appropriately?

Please offer any other comments.

What grade would you assign this milestone? (*For self-evaluation only; NOT for reviewers.*)

- Check-plus: meets all assignment requirements and exceeds expectations
- Check: meets all major requirements; may fall short on one or two minor ones
- Check-minus: meets most major requirements, but falls short in several areas
- U: fails to meet assignment requirements; severely lacking in many areas