

## Research Proposal Evaluation Form

Protocol Number:		Title:		
		<u>Principal Investigator:</u>		
		<u>Co-investigator(s): ( Limit to four)</u>		
Reviewer's Full Name:				
Specialty:				
Extension		Phone		Email Address:
<b><i>Section I. The Quality of the Proposal</i></b>				
1. To what extent the literature review is adequate and comprehensive?				
2. How convincingly the proponents have justified the proposed research?				
3. To what extent the proposal is original , creative and important ? ( Innovative, Novel, relevant))				
4. Are the objectives clear , likely to be achieved and ethical ? ( Feasible, ethical )				

**Section II. The Competence of the Research Team:**

**1. Each member should be evaluated with respect to his/her competence relevant to the proposal and any lacking expertise among investigators should be pointed out.**

Please Make ( X ) if the investigator is not suitable for the proposal subject.

\*The names of the investigators are in sequential order as mentioned on the proposal cover page.

Investigator No. \*    1 (   )            2 (   )            3 (   )            4 (   )            5 (   )

*Justify:*

**Evaluate (2 and 3)**

**2. Are the proposed investigators including consultants and supporting staff number wise:**

- a. Adequate        [   ]
- b. Insufficient    [   ]
- c. Too many        [   ]

**3. In case of lack of expertise among the investigators, please recommend any other investigator(s) within the institution or nationally whose background and experience is relevant to the subject.**

Name:	Name:
Email address or postal Address:	Email address or postal Address:

**Section III. Design of the Proposal:**

**1. To what extent does the study design address and lead to the fulfillment of the objectives?**

**2. Evaluate the proposed methodology ?**

**3. Does the proposal management plan specify the tasks and the assignments clearly?**

**4. Have the investigators proposed adequate procedures for monitoring and evaluating the progress of the project? (*Justify*)**

**Section IV. The Application of Project Outcome:**

1. Discuss the application of the anticipated results and indicate the different sectors benefiting from this project.

2. Would the outcomes of the proposed work help in knowledge enhancement or technology transfer to Pakistan?

**Section V. Budget:**

1. Without rating, evaluate the following:  
Is the project budgeted?

- a. Reasonably estimated [ ]
- b. Overestimated [ ]
- c. Underestimated [ ]

Please indicate the over estimated/underestimated items:

Insert below within brackets , a, b or c as determined

- [ ] Investigator(s)
- [ ] Research Assistant
- [ ] Equipment, Materials, Supplies
- [ ] Computer Services
- [ ] Travel
- [ ] Publication Charges

*Justify:*

2. Is the duration of the project reasonable?     Yes             No

3. Do you suggest any additional references to the proposal investigators?     yes     No

4. Any other comments or suggestions?

5. Conclusions:

a. Proposal overall rating

Excellent             Very Good             Good             Fair             Poor

b. Do you recommend approval?  Yes     No

Yes, provided that the following revisions are carried out.

c. Do you recommend it's funding?  Yes     No

Yes, provided that the following revisions are carried out.

Signature of Reviewer:

Date:

**Signature of Chair, IRB:**