Rubric for Written Case Study - Comprehensive Examination

Item to Be Evaluated	Target (2 points)	Satisfactory (1 point)	Unsatisfactory (0 points)
Content and Pedagogical Knowledge	The case study response demonstrates comprehension in and includes the essential knowledge components of all 10 core areas in the case study response. The 10 core areas include: Professional Identity, Social and Cultural Diversity, Human Growth and Development, Career Development, Group Work, Helping Relationships, Assessment, Research and Program Evaluation, Ethics, and Spirituality	The case study response demonstrates comprehension in and includes the essential knowledge components of no less than 8 core areas in the case study response.	The case study response displays a lack of comprehension and inclusion of the essential knowledge components. Six or less of the core knowledge component were inadequately presented in the case study.
Skills Developed	The case study adheres to formal APA writing format. The case study contains correct title page, abstract, grammar, sentence structure, and citation/reference, usage. It contains a minimum of 15 citation/references. The case study adheres to all components of Academic Honesty as described in Creighton University Education Department and Counselor Education Program syllabi.	The case study adheres to some formal APA writing format components; however, the case study has deficiencies or errors in format structure, grammar, sentence structure, or citation and references. It contains a minimum of 10 citation/references.	The case study demonstrates little to no adherence to APA writing format, contains errors in each of the identified format components. It contains a minimum of 5 citation/references. The case study has not adhered to the Academic Honesty components of Creighton University's Education Department and Counselor Education Program.
Awareness/Integration instruction to the Counseling Profession and Case Study	The case study demonstrates the ability to analyze the information taught over the term of the program, and synthesize it into an ethically coherent case study that accurately applies acceptable counseling theories and methods to the client presented in the case.	The case study demonstrates the ability to analyze the information taught over the term of the program, and synthesize it into an ethically coherent case study that accurately applies acceptable counseling theories and methods to the client presented in the case. The case study is deficient in one or more (but no more than three) theoretical,	The case study does not demonstrate an ability to accurately analyze information taught during the term of the program, and synthesize it into an ethically coherent, accurately conceptualized plan of action for the case study client provided.

		methodological, or strategic counseling component areas.	
Overall Presentation	The case study presenter verbally demonstrates agreement between the written and oral presentation. The students' oral defense demonstrates comprehension in and application of counseling knowledge, ethical practice, and, and an appropriate helping relationship.	The case study presenter verbally demonstrates agreement between the written and oral presentation; however, the oral defense demonstrates deficiencies in the application of counseling knowledge, ethical practice, and appropriateness in the helping relationship.	The case study presenter does not present an oral defense that matches the presentation. Thus, the oral defense demonstrates deficiencies in the application of counseling knowledge, ethical practice, and (but not limited to) inappropriateness in the helping relationship.

Target = 2.00-1.36
Satisfactory = 1.35-0.76
Unsatisfactory = below 0.76