

## Paper Review Note Sheet - Castle 13

Paper #: \_\_\_\_\_

The focus of this review process is twofold: (1) to ensure that all papers are self-studies of merit and are worthy of inclusion in the edited book; and (2) to provide authors with quality, detailed feedback so that they can improve their contribution. Please provide feedback to help authors improve their papers. *This document serves as a guide to review conference papers. The document will not be submitted with the review.*

**The context** (including reference to relevant literature) provides a clear rationale for the paper. Should include positioning the authors/study in terms of theoretical framework, research literature connection, and physical contexts of the study

**The aim, objective or question** guiding the paper is relevant to the conference themes. Should include the problem, purpose, and/or questions of the study.

**The paper is a self-study** self-focused/initiated; improvement aimed; collaborative; exemplar based validation; and answers the so-what question

**The methods** used in the study in respect to data generation and analysis are outlined. Should include participants, data sources, data analysis, strategies and techniques with attention to issues of trustworthiness.

**The outcomes** of the study emerge logically from the study aims, the methods used, literature reviewed and evidence generated. Should include findings, discussion, and conclusions.

**APA (7th ed.)** is used for all in-text citations and references. <https://lib.nmu.edu/help/resource-guides/how-guide/apa-style-7th-edition>

### Notes to Share with Authors:

Context of the Study –

Aim/Objectives –

Self-Study –

Method(s) –

Outcomes –

APA (7th ed.)–

Complete and Accurate Reference List–

\*Reminder: The maximum length of the proposal was **4,000 words**, not including the references.