

<p>proposer will develop described? Are the currently available linked activities on that field outlined and how does the proposed project change the situation explained? Are the available technologies on the market and the advantages of the proposed project detailed?) Please explain reasons to give that particular grade.</p>																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriateness and feasibility of the envisioned Technology Readiness Level (TRL) in relation to the current TRL of the solution (is it feasible/ reasonable to achieve the proposed TRL level at the end of each phase? Are they clearly explained in the proposal? Please consider that there is no minimum TRL at this stage, but each proposal must achieve TRL5 at the end of Phase I and TRL6 at the end of Phase II. The proposals at higher starting TRL (≥ 3) will be positively evaluated.) Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
<p>2. Expected impact</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Score (weight 40%)</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Not Good</td> <td colspan="4"></td> <td colspan="4">Excellent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td></td> </tr> </table>	Not Good							Excellent				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		<p>Comments</p>
Not Good							Excellent																	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10															
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The degree of innovation and the quality of the work (is the proposed solution novel or is it the duplication of another project that has already done? Is the proposed impact realistic, transparent and measurable?). Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact assessment approach to KPIs (is it good enough to reach the foreseen KPIs in the challenge definition? Are the 	<input type="checkbox"/>																							

<p>additionally- proposed KPIs achievable (economical, scientific, social, environmental impact and improvement of the working conditions)? Please explain reasons to give that particular grade.</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of the possible results on the market with regard to the impact of the prior development (reality before and after the achievement) (does the proposed solution have a better impact than the solutions in the market?). Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential to apply wider applications within the targeted industry or in general (is it possible to use possible solutions in different areas?). Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coherence, appropriateness and clarity of the business model (does the business plan include a realistic assessment of the size of the potential market, an analysis of competitor products, an assessment of manufacturing costs and retail price? Is the business model canvas filled out in detail?) Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>3. Implementation (Clarity of the work plan)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Score (weight 20%)</p> <p>Not Good Excellent</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</p>	<p>Comments</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coherence, appropriateness, effectiveness of the overall implementation and integration approach (does the proposed plan explain the implementation and integration clearly? Is 	<input type="checkbox"/>	

<p>there any point that is not specified in the proposal?) Please explain reasons to give that particular grade.</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriateness of the work plan and scheduling (is the proposed workplan and schedule appropriate to do the proposed work?). Please explain the reasons why you think that it is not appropriate to achieve the proposed plan. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk management (is the risks of technology development and the plan identified properly? does the proposal indicate how these risks will be overcome?). Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity of the project plan (clarity of activities in Phase I and Phase II), identification of milestones and deliverables (are all activities for both phases explained properly? Is there any missing point?) Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coverage of the necessary competencies (are the specific roles for each partner described in the proposal? Are the main tasks attributed to each partner detailed? Are the previous experiences of each partner relevant to those tasks indicated? Please explain reasons to give that particular grade. 	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>Remarks</p>	<p>Comments</p>	
<p>It should be noted that the proposals addressing one of the proposed challenges will get 2 points extra (only if they are above threshold). Please write here if the proposal is addressing one of the</p>		

pre-defined challenges by ESMERA Consortium.	
Ethical implications and compliance with applicable international, EU and national law.	
OVERALL SCORE	Score: ?/32

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal

Name	
Signature	
Date	

Name	
Signature	
Date	

0 Fail: The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information;

1-2 Poor: The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses;

3-4 Fair: While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses;

5-6 Good: The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary;

7-8 Very good: The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible;

9-10 Excellent: The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.