

**Golden Eagle Audubon Society
Small Grant Proposal Evaluation Rubric**

Grant Applicant Name: _____

Reviewer Name: _____ Date of Review: _____

Review Guidelines:

- Each reviewer will circle the appropriate value and comment on strengths and weaknesses of the application and return the evaluation to the Committee Chair within 14 days.
- Each proposal will be reviewed by no fewer than two committee members, and the scores of all reviews will be averaged.
- Proposals with an average score of 12 or more will be voted on by the GEAS Board of Directors. Applicants with scores lower than 11, will be informed of the denial of their application within one month.

1) Does the proposed action align with GEAS goals?	Strongly Agree ----- Disagree
	4 3 2 1 0
	Comments:
2) Does the proposal have a clear objective that is achievable in the stated time period?	Strongly Agree ----- Disagree
	4 3 2 1 0
	Comments:
3) Does the proposal have adequate details for how the project will be implemented and what the expected results are?	Strongly Agree ----- Disagree
	4 3 2 1 0
	Comments:

4) Does the budget seem appropriate for the proposed action?	Strongly Agree ----- Disagree
	4 3 2 1 0
	Comments:
5) Does the letter of reference provide support to show the applicant is capable of completing the stated action?	Strongly Agree ----- Disagree
	4 3 2 1 0
	Comments:
Total Score Out Of 24 Possible Points*:	
<p>* If applicable, add an <u>additional two points</u> to the score if the target species is a non-game species.</p> <p>* If applicant is an existing partner with GEAS the application will be awarded an <u>additional two points</u></p>	
Reviewer Recommendations:	