

PROPOSAL EVALUATION RUBRIC		FOR GRANT RANKINGS	
Criteria	Weak/Basic = 1 points	Good/Average = 2 points	Strong/Above Average = 3 points
Community Need	Need/priority described using stories, anecdotes or simply describing a worthwhile cause only, no statistical foundation for services organization intends to provide	Need/priority reflected through the findings compiled through organizational surveys, research, etc.	Organization has effectively identified the issue and population to be served in an understandable way and is able to demonstrate need with verifiable facts, statistics and data. Project would be relative to overall community.
Program Design	Project is redundant of other community efforts. Variance occurs only with relation to staff being used to complete the work.	Project offers some unique service or caters to a specific clientele. Project objectives, methodology and evaluation has been clearly defined and is measurable/quantifiable.	Organization has evidence/documentation of need and has demonstrated that their proposed project has the ability to meet identified needs. Project objectives, methods and evaluation are clearly defined, describe a sequence/flow and interaction of activities that will be used for measuring.
Innovation/What Distinguishes This Project	Project is redundant of other community efforts.	Project uniquely addresses specific challenges or need in a way that yields measurable results.	Project demonstrates the incorporation of its sectors research and best practices.

Resource Maximization/ Colloborative	Project does not address a unique community need without duplicating services. No record of collaboration or efforts to collaborate despite known existence of similar providers in community. There is no to little input or involvement of the people who would benefit from the project.	Agency recognizes other parties involved in providing services and has initiated dialogue to discuss similarities, differences and opportunities for collaboration, which may vary by level. Project seeks to reduce or limit a duplication or overlap of services.	Project proactively works to reduce a duplication or overlap of services. Networks have been formed and agency is engaged in consistent dialogue with other service providers. Efforts promote problem solving that supports partnership and collaboration. The project reflects collaboration between two or more organizations and the role of each organization is clear and logical. There is strategic involvement and feedback from the people who would benefit from the project.
---	---	---	--

PROPOSAL EVALUATION RUBRIC	FOR GRANT RANKINGS
-----------------------------------	---------------------------

Criteria	Weak/Basic = 1 points	Good/Average = 2 points	Strong/Above Average = 3 points
Impact/Anticipated Results- Ask What will Change as a Result of This Project	Project funding is transactional in nature. Impact revolves around the institution and its needs only. Seven Forms of Wealth is not addressed adequately.	Project serves a broad number of clients and community members. Successful implementation leads to changes in individual lives. The Seven Forms of Wealth is adequately addressed in a few areas.	Program addresses root causes and not merely symptoms of systemic community problems. Successful implementation leads to changes in societal conditions as well as individual lives. Project affects policies/practices occurring at local, state or national level. Project is transformational in nature, addressing systemic issues of the community around the Seven Forms of Wealth.

Sustainability	Organization is concerned with and/or is struggling to cover current expenses. Insufficient effort and energy has been devoted to creating a long term action plan for change, progress. TGKVF is the main funding source	Organization has a moderately diverse funding stream, recognizes the need for other sources of support, and is actively engaged - with the direction and full support of its board, in fundraising efforts.	Organization has a solid, diversified fundraising plan in place and is working increasingly towards its self sufficiency. Organization is involved in professional development as a means of keeping current and progressing the organizations' efforts in the community. TGKVF is one on many funding sources.
Financial Condition & Strength	Limited financial oversight, management and reporting. Documentation is slim, does not reflect the full cost of doing business. Repeated reports of weaknesses in auditors management letter. Organization develops and passes deficit based budgets.	Organization has a sound and broad base of support financially. Budget is realistic and not inflated, funding request is appropriate. Financial reports, including audits, are comprehensive. Organization does not develop and pass deficit based budgets.	Agency is able to demonstrate a healthy fiscal position, budget is equally balanced with regard to revenue and expenses. Has funds to cover 3 months of expenses. Auditor's management letter is clean.
PROPOSAL EVALUATION RUBRIC		FOR GRANT RANKINGS	
Criteria	Weak/Basic = 1 points	Good/Average = 2 points	Strong/Above Average = 3 points

Technical Compliance, Form	Documentation submitted but is not in keeping with application guidelines, demonstrates an inability to follow directions, comply with requirements. TGKVF staff had to call the organization to get clarity on one or more items within the proposal.	Documentation was received on time and is fairly complete. Submission follows guideline - font and format guidelines adhered to.	Documentation was received on time and is fairly complete. Submission follows guideline - font and format guidelines adhered to. Narrative clearly and comprehensively addresses application questions. Budget is calculated correctly, is adequate and realistic. Budget narrative is clear and follows budget format. Contributions from other funding partners are clearly shown and explained.
-----------------------------------	--	--	--