



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

Reference: SJM-CIP-XXXX

Table with 2 columns: Field (Title, Acronym, Purpose, Objectives, Endpoints, Design, Devices used, Study Population) and Description.



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	<u>Inclusion Criteria</u> Any subject that was enrolled in the RESPECT IDE and is currently in follow-up. <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> None
Data Collection	Data collection and processing procedures for the PAS1-ODE lead continued follow-up of current RESPECT patient is consistent with the RESPECT IDE study. No change will be made to the CRFs (eCRFs) utilized for the RESPECT IDE trial. Therefore subjects will not be re-consented for this continued follow-up.



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

Reference:
SJM-CIP-XXXX

Table with 2 columns: Field (Title, Acronym, Purpose, Objectives, Endpoints) and Description (PAS2-OSB lead new enrollment study, PAS2, To evaluate the long-term safety and effectiveness of the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder, Objectives: To demonstrate long-term safety... To demonstrate that the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder is effective..., Endpoints: Safety: The composite, 5-year rate of device- or procedure-related serious adverse events... Effectiveness: The 5-year rate of the composite of... Ischemic stroke is defined as acute focal neurological deficit presumed to be due to focal ischemia... Descriptive Endpoints: Components of primary effectiveness endpoint, All-cause mortality, Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA) - Acute focal neurological deficit..., Effective closure - Grade 0 or 1 shunt through the PFO at rest and/or Valsalva as assessed by TTE at 1 year, Technical success - Successful delivery and release of the



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

	<p>AMPLATZER PFO Occluder for subjects in whom delivery system entered the body</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Procedural success</i> - Successful implantation of the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder with no reported in-hospital SAEs for subjects in whom delivery system entered the body
Design:	<p>This study is a single arm, multi-center post approval study that will assess the long-term safety and effectiveness of the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder.</p> <p>Approximately 806 subjects will be enrolled in this study and the study will be conducted in approximately 80 centers in the U.S. Subjects will be followed for 5 years post implant according to the following schedule: pre-hospital discharge, 1-month, 6-months, 12-months and annually thereafter. The total duration of the study is expected to be 8 years.</p>
Statistical Considerations:	<p><i>Primary Effectiveness:</i></p> <p>Hypothesis: The rate of primary effectiveness endpoint at 5-years is less than the pre-specified performance goal of 4.4%.</p> <p>The hypothesis is based on the proportion of subjects experiencing a primary effectiveness endpoint (π), and is as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">$H_0: \pi \geq 4.4\%$ $H_1: \pi < 4.4\%$</p> <p>Analysis of the endpoint will include subjects successfully implanted with the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder, and will be carried out when all subjects reach 5-year follow-up. The analysis will be carried out by estimating the 5-year using the Kaplan-Meier method. The null hypothesis will be rejected if the 95% upper confidence bound (UCB) for π is less than 4.4%. The upper confidence bound will be calculated by the Greenwood method.</p> <p>The primary effectiveness endpoint event rate at 5 years is assumed to be 2.2%. This assumption is based on the 5-year Kaplan-Meier rate of ischemic stroke for subjects who received a device in the device group of the RESPECT IDE trial using the extended follow-up dataset (data cutoff: 14 Aug 2015).</p> <p><i>Primary Safety:</i></p> <p>Hypothesis: The rate of primary safety endpoint at 5-years is less than the pre-specified performance goal of 4.0%.</p> <p>The primary safety hypothesis is based on the proportion of subjects experiencing at least one of the following device- or procedure-related serious adverse events through 5-year follow-up:</p>



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

- New Onset Atrial Fibrillation
- Pulmonary Embolism
- Device Thrombus
- Device Erosion/Embolization
- Major Bleeding requiring transfusion
- Vascular Access Site Complications requiring surgical intervention
- Device- or procedure-related serious adverse event leading to death

The hypothesis is based on the proportion of subjects experiencing a primary safety endpoint is as follows:

$$H_0: p \geq 4.0\%$$

$$H_1: p < 4.0\%$$

Analysis of the endpoint will include subjects who are attempted to be implanted with the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder, and will be carried out when all subjects reach 5-year follow-up. An implant attempt is defined as the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder delivery system entering the body. The null hypothesis will be rejected if the 95% upper confidence bound (UCB) for p is less than 4.0%. The upper confidence bound will be calculated by the Greenwood method.

The primary safety endpoint event rate at 5 years is assumed to be 2.0%. This assumption is based on the adverse event data in the extended follow-up dataset (data cutoff: 14 Aug 2015) of the RESPECT IDE trial. The following table provides the number of events for the components of the safety endpoint from the RESPECT trial, and shows 10 subjects who experienced at least one safety endpoint, corresponding to a proportion of 10/499 (2.0%):

Device- or Procedure-Related Event	Number of Subjects with Event
Atrial Fibrillation	2
Pulmonary Embolism	2
Device Thrombus	0
Device Erosion/Embolization	0
Major Bleeding requiring transfusion	3
Vascular Access Site Complications requiring surgical intervention	4
Device- or procedure related serious adverse event leading to death	0
Total Events	11
Total Number of Subjects With Events	10

Sample Size

The sample size was calculated by simulation of the primary effectiveness and safety endpoints. Events for the primary effectiveness and safety endpoints were simulated from a binomial distribution. The primary endpoints will be analyzed when all subjects reach 5-year of follow-up. With 604 subjects, the trial would have 93% and 90% power at a significance level of 5% to reject the null hypothesis for effectiveness and safety, respectively. Assuming a 5-year



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

Table with 2 columns: Category (Devices used, Study Population) and Description (attrition rate, AMPLATZER PFO Occluder, Patient population details).

Table with 2 columns: Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria and Detailed Criteria (Note, Inclusion Criteria list, Exclusion Criteria list).



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

	<p>laboratory at the investigational site).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liver failure: Liver enzymes outside the normal reference range for the laboratory at the investigational site: poor liver function as assessed by elevated PT (above the normal reference range for the laboratory at the investigational site) and low total protein and albumin (below the normal reference range for the laboratory at the investigational site). • Lung failure: Respiratory failure is retention of carbon dioxide more than 60 mmHg, poor oxygenation with oxygen tension less than 40 mmHg in room air or the need for assisted ventilation. • Uncontrolled hypertension: Sustained elevated systemic blood pressure to more than 160/90 with medications. • Uncontrolled diabetes: Continued elevated glucose levels in spite of administration of insulin/levels of more than 200mg with presence of glucose in the urine. • Ischemic stroke in the distribution of a single, small deep penetrating vessel in a patient with any of the following: 1) a history of hypertension (except in the first week post stroke); 2) history of diabetes mellitus; 3) Age >= 50; or 4) MRI or CT shows leukoaraiosis greater than symmetric, well-defined periventricular caps or bands (European Task Force on Age-Related White Matter Changes rating scale score > 0) • Arterial dissection as qualifying event • Signs of progressive neurological dysfunction • Subjects who test positive with one of the following hypercoagulable states; Anticardiolipin Ab of the IgG or IgM, Lupus anticoagulant, B2-glycoprotein-1 antibodies or persistently elevated fasting plasma homocysteine despite medical therapy • Subjects with contraindication to aspirin or clopidogrel therapy • Anatomy in which the AMPLATZER PFO Occluder would interfere with intracardiac or intravascular structures such as valves or pulmonary veins • Malignancy or other illness where life expectancy is less than 2 years • Subjects who will not be available for follow-up for the duration of the trial • Inability to obtain Informed Consent from patient or legally authorized representative • Stroke with poor outcome at time of enrollment (Modified Rankin score >3) • Subjects who are not able to discontinue the use of anticoagulation if randomized to closure
Data Collection	Subjects will has visits/assessments pre-procedure, at the procedure, at 1-, 6-, and 12-month post-procedure, and annually thereafter through 5 years post procedure.



Clinical Investigational Plan Synopsis

Study Flow Chart

♥ = Required testing

	Baseline	Procedure	Discharge	1 month (± 1 week)	6 months (± 1 month)	12 months (± 3 months)	2 years (± 3 months)	3 years (±3 months)	4 years (±3 months)	5 years (±3 months)
Office Follow-up: (if required) History and Physical Exam	♥		♥	♥	♥	♥				
Modified Rankin Stroke Questionnaire + additional assessments, as necessary	♥			♥	♥	♥	♥	♥	♥	♥
Telephone Follow-up							♥	♥	♥	♥
Transesophageal Echo with bubble study	♥	♥				♥				